

BLOCKCHAIN-ENABLED SUPPLY CHAIN TRANSPARENCY: TRANSFORMING GLOBAL BUSINESS OPERATIONS AND REDUCING FRAUD

Shah Nawaz, PhD Scholar, IIIE, IIUI, Islamabad, Pakistan

Muhammad Noman, PhD Scholar, Qurtaba University, DI Khan, Pakistan.

Email: nomankhan648@gmail.com

Abstract. *This article explores the role of blockchain technology in enhancing supply chain transparency, mitigating fraud, and ensuring ethical practices in global business operations. It also investigates blockchain's relevance in revolutionizing supply chains in relation to transparency, fraud reduction, and traceability. It combines theoretical models, case study reports, and industrial cases with the experimental studies that verify the potential of a blockchain as designed. This research has shown that the blockchain, a decentralized and immutable ledger, is an asset in making significant improvements when it comes to supply chain visibility, the veracity of goods, and trust minimization. Blockchain guarantees too by providing clear and expert justification for a series of transactions is all about transparency and facilitating trust between its parties as it's simplifying business procedures. All of this research suggests that if blockchains can be implemented in supply chains then it is possible that supply chains could become more effective, securer and more transparent: benefitting a range of stakeholders including producers and consumers. The ability of blockchain to eliminate fraud and enhance accountability may prove to be a disruptive factor in the future of worldwide business practices.*

Received 15 April 2025

Revised 25 June, 2025

Accepted 28 June, 2025

Keywords: Blockchain technology; Supply chain transparency; Fraud reduction; Ethical business practices; Cross-border operations

1. Introduction

Supply chain visibility and transparency have never been more important to organizations with increasingly fast and interconnected global business operations. Current global supply chains are complex, involving multiple countries, industries and actors. These are the supply chains network of which Is the mechanics of the production, movement and delivery of goods, often having to co-ordinate between suppliers, manufacturers, wholesalers, retailers

and the ultimate consumer (Christopher, 2016). As businesses continue to expand their reach globally, supply chains are becoming increasingly complex to control. Generally speaking, the challenges are about the fraud problem, the transparency and efficiency of huge amount of data, the inaccuracy of data (Dai & Vasilenko, 2020). They create a trust gap between parties, drive up the costs of doing business, introduce delays in delivery and place counterfeits at risk of entering the supply chain.

Fraud is everywhere and right up there at the top concerns in supply chains, as counterfeit products and products whose place of origin is falsified become more common. A 2019 OECD report estimates the value of counterfeit goods globally to be more than \$500 billion, which damages brand name and consumer confidence (OECD, 2019). Transparency does not represent a panacea, but at least provides some clues for stakeholders to understand where the product came from, whether it is genuine that will minimize the economic damage. Likewise, bottlenecks in supply chains in terms of slackening inventory management, real-time data absence, and weak links of communications lead to non-optimal goods flow and more risks (Kshetri, 2018). Additionally, incorrect or incomplete information about product origin, transportation activity, and warehouse inventory can disrupt decision-making and the operation of a supply chain (see for example Kang et al., 2020). In such a scenario, supply chains fall victim to frauds, inefficiencies and non-accountability, for which innovative solutions are required to solve these problems.

Blockchain technology, originally used to create the system underlying Bitcoin, has emerged as a solution for several problems of contemporary business processes, especially in the field of supply chain management. At its most fundamental level, blockchain is a decentralized, distributed ledger system that enables secure accounting of transactions (Kafeel, Duong, & Kumar, 2023).

A blockchain comprises a set of blocks with transactional histories, and these blocks are chronologically combined to form an unalterable chain (Nakamoto, 2008). These decentralization and immutability just described, are one of the fundamental aspects that separates blockchain from traditional centralized systems, and this brings added security and trust. Data in a blockchain is so tightly interwoven through cryptography with previous blocks that attempts to change or interfere with the data are virtually non-existent. The result is that the integrity and authenticity of transactions saved to the blockchain are not compromised.

While its use has been extended beyond even cryptocurrencies, the blockchain tech became more prominent across a range of industries, particularly in sectors like supply chain management. The transparency, traceability, and immutability which blockchain provides enables businesses

to better monitor their supply chains in real time and trace products from origin to consumer (Kafeel, et al., 2023). For example, IBM has built the Food Trust blockchain to enable food producers and retailers trace food products from the farm to the table to ensure the quality and to reduce contamination risk (IBM, 2019). In addition, blockchain, if it can eradicate the middlemen in the supply chain also could standardize the process cut cost and is more efficient and secure on the data (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).

While supply chain management has improved, fraud, transparency and traceability are still at stake. Deceptive schemes, like counterfeiting, risk the trust in the supply chain. They harm and hurt both businesses and consumers. The opacity in the supply chain forms information asymmetry, which hampers stakeholders from verifying the product's authenticity and following individual products through the supply chain for real-time supervision. Moreover, follow-up products make it more difficult for compliance regulation, and fraud prevention and risk management in the context of product recall or quality inspection (Zhang et al., 2019). These difficulties highlight the importance of a solution that creates transparency, trackability and trustability in worldwide supply chains.

The good news is that blockchain is a potential antidote for these ills. This non-alterable decentralized nature can, in practice fight supply chain fraud free from fraud through the creation of an irrevocable transparent history of all transactions engaged in. Furthermore, the implementation of blockchain can improve traceability, as products can be traced through the whole supply chain, enabling all parties to get accurate and current origin and localization information of the goods. But implementing blockchain in a supply chain can be easier said than done: it involves solving for how to integrate existing systems, scale, and manage compliance. Thus, companies with aspirations of enhancing their business operations need to look at how the blockchain can enhance the transparency of the supply chain, reduce fraud and increase the traceability of their product.

The question is how can blockchain technology enhance visibility and provide real-time access to validated information across global supply chains? Similarly, in what ways can blockchain reduce fraud, counterfeiting, and ensure product authenticity within supply chains? Besides, there is a need of looking at the key challenges to adopting blockchain technology in supply chains, and how can these barriers be addressed?

Literature Review

Blockchain is a decentralized, distributed ledger technology facilitating transparent, secure and immutable recording of records among different participants of a system. The basic building blocks of blockchain is made up

of four parts: the block, the chain, the ledger, and the consensus mechanism. A block is a collection of transaction data and it is represented as a data structure. When a block is finished, it is added to the chain like a link for a chain, which is why it's called a blockchain. The blockchain is the database or ledger of all of the blocks that get updated and shared at each of the computers on the network. This ledger, which is similar to a computer file that can be digital or physical, is distributed across multiple participants, meaning every participant can read, and any change made in the ledger is visible and non-reversible.

The consensus mechanism is the procedure for which members of the blockchain network decide on the validity of transactions. The typical consensus mechanisms, Proof of Work (PoW), Proof of Stake (PoS) and Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT), guarantee the consistency of the blockchain network even when some nodes are malicious or fail (Nakamoto, 2008).

The fundamental advantages of using blockchain for supply chain are increased transparency, higher data accuracy, and increased security. Because it offers a common, unchanging record of every transaction, blockchain makes it possible for all involved parties to identify goods from the point of manufacture to final purchase. This visibility builds trust between all parties involved, who are able to follow the product's journey, verify its authenticity, and ensure it's meeting regulatory specifications, in real time. Further, the data stored on blockchain is immutable, prevents data tampering as it's impossible to change the data once entered that can be done in a secure and tamper-evident fashion (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). These aspects of blockchain technology have important implications for increased efficiencies, decreased fraud, and increased traceability in supply chains.

Even with the development of international supply chains, conventional systems still suffer from many inefficiencies such as fraud, opaqueness, and the unreliability and inefficiency of data exchanges. One of the major issues is fraud. Fake products, especially in areas like drugs, electronics, or luxury, has gained importance. In the pharmaceutical sector, for example, the trade in counterfeit medicines is believed to have a value in the billions of dollars, has severe consequences for the health of consumers and damages brands (OECD, 2019). Likewise, the fashion and electronics are sectors plagued with counterfeits, causing huge economic losses to firms and the customers as well (Kshetri, 2018).

Opacity is also a very tough problem in legacy supply chains. Absence of traceability around product flows, authenticity, and production mechanisms make for such rich breeding ground for fraud activities. For instance, decoupled stakeholders may lack timely and full access to product data which could lead to the failure to detect inefficiency, bottleneck and even fraud

(Zhang et al., 2019). This absence of visibility causes inefficiency, delays and higher costs throughout the supply chain. Also, mistakes in terms of data gathering and sharing can lead to inventory management, shipping logistics, and order fulfillment inconsistencies. These mistakes can many times be attributed to: manual input of data; non-standardized systems; and lack of standardized names and measurement (not to mention measurements and laws that are different across countries). These factors can lead to incorrect product description; delays in delivery; and low customer satisfaction (Kang et al., 2020).

The challenges noted above affect business in a number of ways. Elevated cost of operation is caused as a result of inefficient inventory management, logistics, quality control. They are a cause of financial loss, loss of trust with consumers, and reputational damage for businesses. Such opacity and inefficiency not only delay decisions, but also make it more difficult for businesses to react to market demands or new risks. compliant), consumer confidence would also be adversely affected because origins could not be traced leading to dissatisfaction and loss of loyalty in the brand (Christopher, 2016).

Blockchain provides many of the answers to the problems of fraud, lack of transparency and inefficiency that hamper current supply chains. What makes blockchain particularly exciting is the potential for increased transparency. With a real time-shared ledger of every transaction, blockchain provides all involved, from the creators to the consumers, with correct and trustable information about product origin, shipment processing, and product quality. Such transparency reduces information asymmetry (situation when one of the involved has more information than the commissioning parties), and lowers opportunities for fraud (Kshetri, 2018).

Since Blockchain cannot be tampered with, it is a key contributor in fraud minimization. After data is entered into a blockchain, it cannot be erased, guaranteeing an immutable record of product movement and authenticity. This is particularly advantageous to industries prone to counterfeiting including pharmaceuticals and luxury goods. For example, a blockchain system can guarantee to consumers that they have open access to certified information on the product, including information on the manufacturer, components, and manufacturing processes, thereby somewhat eliminating the risks of fake goods (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).

On top of this value creation, blockchain cuts down solutions required from intermediaries in supply chains, making processes faster and less expensive. Traditional supply chains are complex networks that can include brokers, shippers, and warehousemen, all of whom add their own margins and potential points of failure between the consumer and the producer. Blockchain

allows verification and recording of transactions that are both cheaper and faster without involving such intermediaries (Zhang et al., 2019).

The researcher believes there are multiple applications of blockchain to improve traceability and transparency in supply chains. For example, IBM's Food Trust blockchain has been employed to track food from the farm to the dinner table. The platform enables food supply chain players including retailers, and consumers to track sources and history of food to determine its safety and quality (Kafeel, et. al., 2023; IBM, 2019). Then there's Maersk's own blockchain-powered TradeLens platform, which digitalizes the shipping logistics aspects of sending containers to give you an auditable, transparent journey for each. Such a system enhances logistics efficiency, reduces fraud, and ensures transparent shipping of goods for all parties to the transaction (Kang et al., 2020).

These examples illustrate how blockchain could add traceability, ensuring that goods can be tracked at each stage of their journey through the supply chain: from the raw materials to the end consumer. This traceability is even more important when dealing with food, pharmaceutical and electronics manufacturers which all rely on product authenticity and safety. For the Finnish implementations of the web-based interventions, there were no specific regulatory or ethical issues that needed to be taken into consideration.

The use of blockchain in supply chains has great potential, but the road to success isn't bump-free. Regulatory hurdles have been an obstacle for blockchain adoption. Regulators have a hard task of how best to legalize the use of blockchain while protecting the consumer's needs- specifically a consumer's privacy and maintaining fair competition (Zohar, 2019). Despite its inherent decentralization, blockchain also brings up jurisdictional issues because it is potentially implemented across countries with different norms and regulations related to data protection, intellectual property, and product safety. Moreover, ethical issues also emerge concerning privacy and the utilization of personal data. Although blockchain technology is transparent, one must take wise measures to secure sensitive information, pertaining to consumer identities and business operations (Miller, 2018).

Governments around the world are trying to figure out how to regulate the use of blockchain in supply chains. A few countries like, Estonia and Switzerland, have adopted blockchain and have put in place a regulatory infrastructure that encourages the use of blockchain technologies in conducting business. Meanwhile other regions are more cautious, they fear about the potential hazards in decentralization, and since feel a risk for traditional regulatory mechanisms (Zohar, 2019). However, as the demand to reap the rewards of blockchain has increased so has the pressure to find the balance between allowing for innovation and granting regulatory oversight.

The adoption of blockchain into supply chains could hold the answer to some of the biggest problems plaguing traditional ones, such as fraud, lack of transparency and inefficiency. The trust we so desperately seek and want from one another, that type of trust already exists in the blockchain with all of the above security features embedded into it. Regulation and privacy challenges are also yet to be addressed but the continued development of the blockchain platforms and the real-world uses cases suggest a bright future for blockchain in supply chain management. Businesses and regulators When businesses and regulators join forces, blockchain will be the foundation of the modern supply chain, promoting efficiency, trust, and security throughout industries.

Methodology

This research utilizes a qualitative research methodology to examine how blockchain technology can facilitate supply chain visibility, decrease fraud and enhance traceability. This research is meant to grasp the application of blockchain in supply chain in the field settings down to the depth beyond relying on numbers and statistical inferences only.

For data collection, this study uses case study and literature synthesis. Case study analysis is an efficient method to investigate the business use of blockchain technology by industries. By conducting in-depth case studies, the study seeks to investigate how companies have adopted blockchain technology in supply chain management, encountered challenges and achieved results. They are chosen according to the suitability of the industry to be a main adopter of blockchain, the level of implementation, and the degree of success or failure achieved by the companies involved.

This serves as a base of theoretical analysis for SCTs in the context of blockchain on transparency, fraud reduction, and traceability. Through the synthesis of extant literature, the research maps literature themes, insights and loopholes in the current state of knowledge, and presents a comprehensive view about how block-chain is employed for SCM.

The decision rules for the selection of the case studies are based on the industrial relevance (for example, food, pharmaceuticals, and luxury goods are identified as those industries more likely to be positively impacted by blockchain products -they are highly susceptible to fraud), the size of the companies in implementing block-chain (emphasis of attention is given to large-scale implementations in order to check potential of being extended across), and the success (or otherwise) of the companies. This guarantees that the chosen case studies are practical and contribute to the understanding of the impact of blockchain on supply chains.

Data Sources

Data collection in this paper is based on a mixed collection of academic journals, industrial reports and company white papers. Academic journals play an important role in delivery of a peer-reviewed researched evidence of the use and application of blockchain technology within the supply chain. The Journal of Business Logistics, Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, and International Journal of Production Economics are used to obtain theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence of the role of blockchain in transparency, fraud minimization and traceability.

Industry research reports and white papers from well-respected entities like IBM, Deloitte and PwC provide useful case applications of blockchain technology for supply chains. These reports generally include case studies, stats, and commentary from the experts that show what's working and where things may be going awry in blockchain application. Moreover, these sources offer a forward insight into what blockchain may change and its future developments in supply chain management, giving a panoramic view of how blockchain could move on.

And the company whitepapers of blockchain supply chain solutions, such as the ones provided from the IBM's Food Trust blockchains and the Maersk's TradeLens platform, are used as basic references. And these documents explain execution, result, and insight of trying the blockchain in certain industries. They will also help business leaders comprehend the problems and solutions related to blockchain adoption in those companies.

Data Analysis

Thematic and comparative case study methods are used for analyzing the impact of blockchain technology on supply chain transparency, reduction of fraud and traceability.

Thematic analysis is used to recognize, analyze, and report patterns (themes) that occur in the case studies and literature. By this means, common concepts, challenges, and results considering the blockchain technology are elicited among the various industry sectors. "Transparency", "the reduction of fraud" and "traceability" have been analysed with the use of blockchain is able to face these issues and increase the traceability of the supply chain.

Comparative case study is then utilized to compare the application of blockchain among various supply chains. By analysing several use-cases, this approach emphasizes the commonalities and contradictions in the use of blockchain technology in various sectors. It enables to investigate further more the landscapes describing where blockchain is more effective, what are barriers to its adoption and what factors affect the success of using blockchain. This approach also allows one to find best practices and get lessons from the experience of other companies.

Both of these analysis approaches are complementary and they complement each other and provide a holistic view on the potential and challenges of blockchain in supply chains, and from them we identify where blockchain has been effective and where it had limitations.

Results and Discussion

Blockchain technology has opened new means of transforming supply chains with better visibility and data accuracy. The most significant source of inefficiency and fraud in traditional supply chains comes from the lack of transparency. The crucial information on the origin, movement, and quality of the product may be erased, altered, or distorted, thus undermining the trust in the overall system (Zhang et al., 2019). Blockchains' decentralized, immutable ledger means all parties are working with the same information in real time, reducing the chances of that information being misrepresented or mishandled. "Blockchains are essentially time-stamped transaction lists that are cryptographically protected against tampering long after they have been recorded, validated, and added in the chain. Each transaction, or block, is typically a unique file encrypting an amount of money or some other value, along with the proof that it has been authorized by its vendor" (Wright, 2016, p.35). This is key in optimizing a supply chain's data quality so that all stakeholders, including manufacturers, distributors, retailers, and consumers, can rely on one version of the truth.

Real-time tracking of products is one of blockchain's key benefits of transparency. Utilizing blockchain and IoT devices, along with RFID tags we can now track where products have been, what conditions they're in and even the state of the product from start to finish on the supply chain. Every time a product changes hands from the factory floor to the warehouse, a warehouse to a truck, or a truck to the store, these transactions are documented and added to the blockchain ledger thus creating a chronicle of a product's journey. This make sure that key players are able to monitor the product throughout hence, providing trust and accountability (Kshetri, 2018). A food industry can adopt blockchain to check a product's temperature while transportation, make sure it is under a safe range, or a manufacturer can have each part's assembly process recorded so that the later process will not be avoided or made mistakes.

Additionally, Blockchain reduces the amount of inconsistent data through a reliable and transparent setting so that each participant will only be authorized to read and write the sanctioned records. In a normal supply chain system, there are quite a few organizations which have access to their individualized data copy, in contrast, in blockchain, every input transaction exists and can be accessed by everyone in the network the entries are better trusted and the

chance of reversing or tampering with them are reduced (Björnbaum, Saak & Schlott, 2018). Higher operational efficiency, less expensive, and a strong consumer trust in product authentication and quality.

The automated nature is fundamental for some of the business activities in blockchain-based supply chains and smart contracts are central to it. A smart contract is a computer protocol intended to facilitate, verify, or enforce a contract on the blockchain. The contract triggers a prearranged action when the specified condition is fulfilled, without any human specific input. This function minimizes human factors of error and guarantees the same standard across industries for which fast and accurate transactions are vital. For instance, in a supply chain, a smart contract might automatically initiate a payment when a shipment is received, or release the shipment after all required regulatory approvals are confirmed. This takes place by eliminating any manual processes these intermediaries may have and, but also automates them since blockchain drives efficiency across the supply chain.

Enhanced Traceability

One of the most significant capabilities of blockchain for traceability is in supply chain management. Traceability is the possibility of following the history of a product from the time of its origin through the various stages of production, transformation and distribution. The transparent and traceable ledger of blockchain can be an optimal answer to improve the traceability in several cold chain processes, particularly in such industries with strict requirements of product quality, e.g., food safety, pharmaceuticals, and luxurious goods (Zhang et al., 2019).

One classic example of blockchain in action for traceability is within the food industry. IBM's Food Trust blockchain helps food producers, suppliers, and retailers trace products from their source to the consumer. Such traceability is beneficial for the monitoring of the quality and safety of food, as well as for the enforcement of food safety legislation and for increased credibility among consumers (IBM, 2019). If a shipment of goods is tainted, for instance, blockchain can help everyone in the supply chain quickly spot which point on the supply chain the goods were tainted, facilitating a targeted recall so that fewer consumers become ill.

RFID and IoT Devices With blockchain, often combined with RFID tags and IoT devices, a more specific level of traceability can be achieved. That data can be stored in the RFID tag about where the product came from, its quality, and in terms of the product's journey, which then gets recorded on the blockchain as the product moves through different stages of the supply chain. For instance, smart sensors on the product, such as those that monitor temperature, might be able to monitor the condition of the product throughout the shipment process and send real-time notifications to every relevant participant in the chain (Kshetri, 2018). Through use of blockchain, these

technologies also provide a full, auditable record of the entire history of a product that can serve themselves or their customers, increasing both operational transparency and consumer trust.

Use Cases and Case Studies

The capability of blockchain to improve supply chain transparency and traceability is demonstrated by multiple case studies in various sectors of the industry. One of the most frequently cited examples is that of IBM's Food Trust blockchain, which enables transparency in the world's food supply. Retailers and consumers are able to trace food from source to end user via this platform. Prominent companies in the food industry, including Walmart are using this technology to track the movement of food items at every point of their journey in real-time, thereby minimizing risks of foodborne illnesses outbreaks and enhancing the safety of the consumers' food products (IBM, 2019).

VeChain is already used to verify expensive products in the luxury goods sector, for example, by using an app to scan and verify that a product is not counterfeit. By letting companies log data about where a luxury item came from, if it's real, and how it moved through the supply chain on the immutable blockchain, VeChain gives consumers a way to know that they're getting what they pay for. This would be particularly valuable for industry sectors in which the counterfeit value of goods is relatively high, and the brands in general are always at high risk of being cheated (VeChain, 2020).

And then, there's Maersk's TradeLens platform, another application of the blockchain phenomenon on the supply chain level. TradeLens, a blockchain system architected for the global supply chain industry, digitizes the processes used to book cargo and transport it across the world, spanning across carriers, ports, and countries. Through the-combined offering, TradeLens opens up an opportunity for supply chain efficiency gains, including providing end-to-end supply chain visibility, minimizing container moves, and removing the inefficiencies and frictions in global trade. This system is implemented by big shipping corporations such as Maersk and has been successful in enhancing the effectiveness and transparency of international trade (Kang et al., 2020).

Collaboration Between the Different Stakeholders

It also enables cooperation between different parties in the supply chain via a shared, tamper-proof database. The traditional supply chain features lack of trust, siloed systems and intermediaries who control who can access the data. Blockchain solves these problems by providing a single, open, and secure transaction record that every participant can see and edit.

Blockchain eliminates information asymmetry, thanks to transparency, that in turn enables producers, manufacturers, logistics providers and

consumers to collaborate better. When the supply chain participants have ability to instantly observe the information available in chain solution, the stakeholders will be able to take fact-based decisions which will facilitate the communication and collaboration through the supply chain at each and every step (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). Example in a blockchain based supply chain, manufactures can subscribe for changing inventory levels where while logistic companies subscribe to get shipment data to achieve on time delivery. Likewise, consumers are able to have confidence in the genuineness of the product and follow the product from source to shelf.

Blockchains are also doing away with intermediaries by establishing a jointly trusted source of truth and cutting out all the fat that naturally comes from different systems not talking to each other. This sharing atmosphere builds more trust between partners, supports better decision-making, and drives more efficient and effective supply chain operations (Zhang et al., 2019).

Supply chains can be transformed by Blockchain technology, by providing more transparency, traceability and Fraud reduction. With real-time goods tracking, smart contracts, and integration with IoT and RFID tech, blockchain enables a trustworthy, immutable ledger that delivers data precision and accountability to every involved party. IBM Food Trust, VeChain, as well as TradeLens are case studies proving that blockchain is gaining traction across industries to solve supply chain issues. Enabling cooperation between parties and offering a single source of the truth, blockchain is enabling operational efficiencies, enhancing trust among participants, and curbing fraud throughout the world's interconnected supply chains. With the rapid rise of blockchain, the effect on supply chains has yet to be fully assessed, but the results are expected to be substantial, benefiting industries from across the globe.

Blockchain Technology and Combating Fraud in the Supply Chain

Fraud in another category is copying and piracy which is Recurrence almost in the drug industry and luxury and computer replicate the cost of the charges and huge loss on brand names and lots of abuse this is. Helps to fight counterfeiting: Blockchain can help prevent counterfeiting by providing a record of authenticity in the form of an irreversible digital proof. Every transaction or movement of a product within the supply chain gets entered onto a blockchain, which results in a transparent, immutable history of the product's origin, creation, and movement in the production and distribution line (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).

The unchangeable nature of blockchain ensures that once the data is added to the chain, nobody can change it or delete it. This enables information on products themselves — such as the production process, the feedstock used, as well as the shipment history — to be stored updatable records securely and reliably. Through areas of life and death authenticity (such as in the

pharmaceutical industry), blockchains could block counterfeit drugs from being released in the market as a measure of protecting public health. Rising drug counterfeiting is a worldwide problem, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), 10% of drugs may be counterfeit (World Health Organization (WHO), 2017). As Blockchain can record and verify each and every step involved in the process of production and distribution of a pharmaceutical product, it ensures that only authentic medicines reach the market, and it greatly reduce the threat of circulating counterfeits.

With the help of information from RFID tags regarding the products, one could, for example, as a customer, ensure that the high-end product you are buying is a genuine one by adding data from the RFID tags to a blockchain (VeChain, 2020). In the electronics industry, counterfeit parts can be dangerous to the integrity of the product, thus, blockchain can be used to trace all components used in production to a verified source. This mitigates the risk of counterfeit parts entering the supply chain and ensures product integrity and reduces fraud.

Fraud Detection Mechanisms

Being decentralized by design, Blockchain is secure to the core. Contrary to classic centralized systems with the need of relying on a trusted single party dozens of people authorities, blockchain work on a decentralized ledger architecture, in which each network participant holds a copy of the ledger, and all these entries must be signed off by the network through a consensus mechanism. This makes it exceedingly difficult for scammers to change transaction history and product contents (Nakamoto, 2008).

The data on the blockchain is decentralized and no one entity has control of the data, thus it makes the malicious act of breaking the data or manipulating the data more difficult. Contrary to a conventional centralized database, where an untrusted party could tamper with data, in blockchain data can only be tampered with after rewriting history in the majority of the blockchain copies, something quite impossible to achieve with just the minority's agreement (Zohar, 2019). This is what makes blockchain a powerful tool in preventing supply chain fraud and that is just too unrealistic.

Integration with AI and Machine Learning

AI and Machine learning integration in combination with blockchain can improve real-time fraud detection considerably. AI algorithms can detect abnormal activities or anomalies by analyzing traffic on blockchains which can point to fraudulent activities. For instance, a machine learning model can alert on anomalies like sudden increases in shipments or not-fully-compliant suppliers or inconsistent claims of product origin. This system helps in real-time fraud detection, which make it possible for firms to act speedily when

there is a red flag (Kshetri, 2018). Moreover, smart contracts -contracts with the form of a self-executing protocol that have business rules implemented in code- can support the automatization of compliance checks, so as to ensure that transactions are performed if and only if all conditions established for this purpose are satisfied. This helps to minimize the human influence and eliminate the chance of fraud from either party as all terms are automatically enforced. Several actual industrial applications show how the blockchain technology has effectively been used to stop fraud in different supply chains.

VeChain and Tangible Goods Verification

A typical use case for blockchain is fraud prevention, like VeChain, a blockchain platform designed to verify the authenticity of luxury products and prevent them from being faked. By integrating blockchain with RFID tags and QR codes, VeChain gives manufacturers the ability to track every action a product experiences from the manufacturing floor to the store. Customers can tap and read these codes and see the full history of the product, where the product came from, what it's made of, and then on shipping – so proving it's real. This model is particularly stark in high-end industries like fashion, where counterfeiting has been a plague for decades. Through the use of block chains, producers and consumers of luxury items have an easy way to ensure the products originality therefor counterfeiting loses its grip on the market (VeChain, 2020).

IBM's food trust blockchain has been used in the food industry to bring more transparency and help in fighting fraud as well. The system can provide an un-editable traceability of the product's traceability, from farm to fork, certifying the authenticity and safety of the product for consumers and retailers. For example, if there is a foodborne disease outbreak, blockchain can be used to quickly trace the food involved and to target the recall instead of one that is publicly broad. Such real-time visibility on the history behind a product is a powerful weapon against false claims and/or mislabeling. And by improving supply chain transparency, blockchain can also combat fraud like mislabeling or selling unsafe products. Walmart among those claimed to have gained additional supply chain efficiencies and cut down instances of food fraud via the IBM based Food Trust system (IBM, 2019).

TradeLens and Shipping Fraud

The collaboration between Maersk and IBM, the blockchain-based platform, TradeLens, offers end-to-end visibility and joint document handling in global shipping. All transactions, documents and shipping movements are logged in a secure public blockchain ledger, thus reducing risk of forgery (documents), instances of splitting shipment details or altering shipping information without permission. TradeLens can help reduce fraud by providing a universal, immutable record and single version of the truth of shipping process in the

trade, thus providing the same and the chewed information to all the stakeholders in the Supply Chain from manufacturer, shipper to consumer. That can cause fraud, and it can also reduce shipping logistics mistakes (Kang et al., 2020).

Medications and Counterfeit Medications

In pharmaceuticals, blockchain technology has been utilized to track how the drugs flow from manufacturers to the consumers and prevent the entry of counterfeit drugs into the supply chain. For example, a system called MediLedger, which is tracking prescription drugs with blockchain, has already prevented the sale of fake pharmaceuticals. With the system, pharmacies, wholesalers, and manufacturers can verify that the medicine they are holding is not counterfeit and therefore assure the integrity of the product and safety of patients.

Blockchain provides a strong tool to fight fraud and preserve the integrity of the supply chain. A clear, unchangeable digital record to prove authenticity means that the likelihood of fakes is dramatically reduced using blockchain. Its decentralized features also prevent hackers from tampering with records, and its AI and machine learning technology enable real-time fraud monitoring. For complete cases where blockchain-based secure services for such applications like luxury goods, food—security and pharmaceuticals, attest the effectiveness for counterfeiting and legitimacy of product. The future of blockchain in fraud mitigation is vast, and as blockchain continues to develop, it's likely that it will play a larger role in preventing fraud in any given supply chain, providing businesses and customers alike with a greater sense of security and transparency.

Technological Challenges

Blockchain technology has great promise for improving supply chain management, but significant technological challenges inhibit its wide usage. Scaling, transaction speed and power consumption, especially in public blockchains, are particularly challenging.

Scalability is a significant problem for blockchain platforms, and is particularly severe for systems that use Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism (e.g. Bitcoin). The possibility of validating transactions and appending blocks to the blockchain with competing blocks via the computing process is too hard when the network gets more extensive in PoW blockchains. For example, the transaction processing speed of Bitcoin is only around seven transactions per second (Nakamoto, 2008), which is far below what a modern supply chain would demand where thousands or even millions of transactions per second could be needed. Such limitation has led the

scalability to be one of the major challenges for applying blockchain to largescale supply chain network.

Transaction speed is another issue when it comes to scaling. In practice, agreeing upon a unit of account in a decentralized system increases the time during which transactions must be confirmed and validated. For sectors which require real-time supply chain transactions, the pace at which the transaction occurs on blockchain platforms may limit their practical application (Zohar, 2019).

High levels of energy consumption are also a key concern, particularly in PoW-network blockchains. Verifying transactions and partaking in decentralized ledger maintenance is a computationally intensive endeavor and thus energy-intensive. That's raised concerns on what blockchain networks can do for the environment. The high degree of energy consumption of public blockchains such as Bitcoin has drawn criticism as the network scales. The electricity demand of the Bitcoin network is larger than those of many small countries, according to one study (Krause & Tolaymat, 2018). Energy saving consensus protocol such as Proof of Stake (PoS) is used as an alternative to traditional consensus mechanism problem, but it has the shortcomings of low security and difficult integration.

Implementation Costs

One of the major challenges of interfacing the blockchain with the supply chain is the expensive solution of integrating the blockchain that is already developed in the marketplace. On the other hand, Blockchain comes with a very high initial investment in terms of infrastructure and skills. Supply chain companies often have to replace their current systems or need to connect their new blockchain solutions with their legacy systems, both of which can be an expensive and time-consuming process. These integration costs include software development, hardware upgrades, and specialized staffing for the development and maintenance of blockchain systems (Miller, 2018).

The operational costs of blockchain systems are not negligible, especially in public blockchains which consume huge amount of computational power and energy. Such costs are preventing businesses that already have low margins to operate with (SMES, small and medium-sized enterprises) to use blockchain technology, applied instead more affordable methodologies (Kshetri, 2018).

Regulatory Issues

A significant issue for using the blockchain in the world supply chain is the lack of uniform regulatory rules. Multinationals face an especially thorny legal environment, with each country or region having different regulations when it comes to blockchain tech. Having said that, it is entirely possible that in certain states blockchain solutions could still be firmly encapsulated (Hui

and Ye, 2019), or at the opposite end of the spectrum, totally rejected or banned for some applications (Zohar, 2019). This also creates an element of uncertainty for businesses that want to use blockchain solutions which have to wend their way through a thicket of rules and potential risks they may be exposed to.

Without clear regulation, it could be an unnecessary challenge to prove compliance with laws already on the books (e.g., data protection and privacy laws such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) from the European Union).

The immutability and transparency of blockchain might be in conflict with privacy requirements, especially when storing personal or sensitive data within the blockchain. The issue becomes, then, how to establish regulatory perimeters that can accommodate the secure use of blockchain in those banks that are subject, at least in part, to laws vouchsafing data privacy (Miller, 2018).

Adoption Resistance

Last but not least, resistance to adoption is one of the major blockers for blockchain in supply chain. Conventional supply chain systems are often based on centralized databases and long-standing procedures that stakeholders are used to. It can be hard to persuade enterprise companies to adopt blockchains, especially when they view blockchains as just another layer of complexity or when the value proposition is challenging to articulate. The ‘trial and error’ due to the high degree of uncertainty involved in blockchain technology adoption might be a key reason established players, i.e. manufacturers, logisticians and distributors, may have a less pronounced willingness to implement blockchain, owing to risk perceptions, costs and disruptions to established processes (Zhang et al., 2019).

Concerns over the security and stability of blockchain systems further contribute to the reticence to implement these new technologies. Even though blockchain is secure by nature, there are still some concerns about the possible vulnerabilities in blockchain itself, such as the consensus attack or 51% attack. And these fears are helping drive resistance to bringing blockchain to market, especially in areas where the stakes are high, such as pharma and food safety, where fraud and data integrity are paramount.

Future Prospects and Implications for Global Business Connectivity

With the development of blockchain technology, its application in the global supply chain system will have broad prospects for development (Çodur & Erkeyman, 2025). In the future, the blockchain will perhaps be the heart and soul of supply chain operations, making end-to-end transparency, efficiency and security standards the new normal. Scalability and transaction speed

energy consumption as the technology matures, issues of scalability, transaction speed and energy consumption are expected to be addressed, which would enable the blockchain to handle more high-complexity and high-volume supply chains. Private and consortium blockchains, which are more scalable and energy-efficient than public blockchains, are likely to be widely employed in supply chain use-cases, thus allowing organizations to reap the benefits of blockchain technology without scalability and energy problems that come along with public chains (Zohar, 2019).

Blockchain and the Emerging Technologies Integration

The power of blockchain can be amplified if it is combined with other advanced technologies like Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and big data analytics. IoT connected to blockchain has the potential to improve real-time tracking of products, gives verification of product conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity, etc.) and create transparency in the supply chain industry. As a result of recording sensor-generated information from IoT devices directly on a blockchain, actors can obtain reliable and non-repudiable data on good status along every step of the supply chain (Kshetri, 2018). So too for AI and machine learning that can process blockchain data to spot patterns, forecast demand, and improve supply chain performance. And the flow of goods and transactions on the blockchain can be monitored in real time with AI to identify anomalies and fraudulent activity. Under this environment, the complementary effect of blockchain and BD analytics can help organizations achieve better data-based decisions and enable supply chain efficiency enhancement to move forward (Kshetri, 2018).

Global Impact

The integration of blockchain in supply chain is an important consideration for international business, especially SMEs and developing countries. Blockchain provides a disruptive method of implementing traceability that is transparent, secure, and cost-effective, as well as efficient, of goods systems for increasing the transparency and safety of SMEs Based on intermediaries and opens a world of possibilities includes global markets. Large enterprises are frequently stymied by trust and transparency issues when doing business with larger companies or foreign buyers. Some of these barriers are served partially by Blockchain by being an open and auditable ledger of transactions that builds trust among relations and helps in just treatment (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).

To emerging economies, blockchain provides an entry to the world stage as a secure and yet inexpensive way to trade in global supply chains. By requiring fewer intermediaries and providing greater transparency, blockchain can potentially address some of the ills that afflict businesses in emerging markets — fraud, inefficiency and lack of access to capital.

Policy Recommendations

Policy makers and regulators of business must collaborate to drive adoption of blockchain in supply chains. Governments should build more disciplined regulatory frameworks that will foster blockchain technology that is consistent with data privacy and security requirements. Those frameworks are meant to be innovation-enabling, but they should also protect consumers and ensure fair competition.

Second, the businesses need to train and develop to change the digital gap and develop the sensitivity required for integrating blockchain technology into the traditional supply chain systems (Çodur & Erkeyman, 2025). Collaboration among business entities, technology providers and universities is required to facilitate blockchain research and development and its adoption (Zohar, 2019).

Finally, incentive schemes and public–private partnerships may also help with defraying the initial deployment costs of adopting blockchain, particularly for SMEs and organizations in developing regions. Governments might encourage companies with financing, tax relief or regulatory relief to more widely adopt and use blockchain.

Conclusion

Blockchain has the potential to significantly improve transparency in the supply chain, and reduce fraud and the need for traceability. Blockchain guarantees the veracity of products, facilitate anti-counterfeiting, and enhance operational efficiency by bringing a can't be changed & transparent ledger. Smart contracts, IoT integration and real-time tracking create unprecedented levels of visibility and accountability in challenging-to-manage supply chains. It can be utilized in industries like food safety, pharmaceuticals, etc.

Some possibilities for potential future work can be envisioned in the blockchain space. It is also necessary to conduct more research on blockchain with other technologies such as AI and big data, especially on fraud detection and operational efficiency. Furthermore, future work has to consider the lastingness of blockchain networks, such as from an energy consumption, scalability, and regulatory perspectives.

Blockchain is set to revolutionize global supply chains by increasing transparency, cutting down on fraud, and boosting efficiency. As technology advances, it has the capability to become a ubiquitous aspect of supply chain practice globally, providing not only large institutions, but also SMEs and businesses in emerging economies an added advantage. But the obstacles to adoption will need to be overcome, with cooperation among the parties concerned, coherent regulation and ongoing technological innovation played a role.

References

- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & Supply Chain Management* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Çodur, S. & Erkeyman, B. (2025). Blockchain technology from the supply chain perspective: A systematic literature review. *Spectrum of Decision Making and Applications* 2(1), 268-285.
- Dai, Q., & Vasilenko, I. (2020). *Blockchain Technology for Supply Chains: Opportunities and Challenges*. Springer.
- IBM. (2019). *IBM Food Trust Blockchain*. Retrieved from <https://www.ibm.com/blockchain/solutions/food-trust>
- Kafeel, H., Duong, L. N. K., & Kumar, V. (2023). Blockchain in supply chain management: A synthesis of barriers and enablers for managers. *International Journal of Mathematical Engineering and Management Sciences* 8(1):15-42.
- Kang, H., Lee, J., & Lee, H. (2020). Blockchain technology and supply chain management: An integrated approach. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 41(2), 112-129.
- Kshetri, N. (2018). *Blockchain and Supply Chain Management: A Transformative Technology*. Routledge.
- Nakamoto, S. (2008). *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System*. <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
- OECD. (2019). *The Economic Impact of Counterfeit Trade*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin and Other Cryptocurrencies is Changing the World*. Penguin.
- VeChain. (2020). *VeChain Blockchain Platform for Supply Chain Transparency*. Retrieved from <https://www.vechain.org/>
- Zohar, I. (2019). Blockchain and regulation: A new paradigm for supply chain management. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 25(4), 45-60.
- Zhang, Y., Zhao, Z., & Wang, Q. (2019). Blockchain in supply chain: A survey and future directions. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 55(3), 31-47.